**Rubric**  Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | EXEMPLARY | PROFICIENT | EMERGING | NEEDS IMPROVEMENT |
| FOCUS  and  ORGANIZATION | * Thesis is insightful and accurate * Examples are tightly linked to thesis * Topic sentences masterfully preview material, relate to thesis, and transition where needed | * Thesis is clear and accurate * Examples are sufficiently linked to thesis * Topic sentences aptly preview material, relate to thesis, and transition where needed | * Thesis is accurate but too simplistic * Examples may be questionable in link to thesis * Topic sentences may not clearly/precisely preview material, relate to thesis, or transition where needed | * Thesis is inaccurate and/or unpolished * Examples do not link to thesis * Topic sentences may be questionable or neglectful in previewing material, relating to thesis, or transitioning |
| CONTENT | * Chosen examples are insightful * Context is clear and concise * Textual evidence is insightful, original, and illustrative of theme * Explanation of evidence is highly articulate with superior understanding of text | * Chosen examples are satisfactory * Context is mostly clear and concise * Textual evidence is strong and accurate * Explanation of evidence is clear and demonstrates strong understanding of text | * Chosen examples may be questionable * Context may be unclear or wordy * Textual evidence is generally accurate but may lack impact * Explanation of evidence is generally clear but with basic understanding of text | * Chosen examples may be unclear or inaccurate * Context may not preview evidence * Textual evidence may contain serious inaccuracies * Explanation of evidence may lack development with a faulty understanding of text |
| GRAMMAR/  MECHANICS | * No errors in g/m * Correct citations * No personal pronouns | * Few errors in g/m * Mostly correct citations * No personal pronouns | * Some errors in g/m * Some errors in citations * No personal pronouns | * Many errors in g/m * Many errors/missing citations * No personal pronouns |
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