**Rubric**  Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | EXEMPLARY | PROFICIENT | EMERGING | NEEDS IMPROVEMENT |
| FOCUSandORGANIZATION | * Thesis is insightful and accurate
* Examples are tightly linked to thesis
* Topic sentences masterfully preview material, relate to thesis, and transition where needed
 | * Thesis is clear and accurate
* Examples are sufficiently linked to thesis
* Topic sentences aptly preview material, relate to thesis, and transition where needed
 | * Thesis is accurate but too simplistic
* Examples may be questionable in link to thesis
* Topic sentences may not clearly/precisely preview material, relate to thesis, or transition where needed
 | * Thesis is inaccurate and/or unpolished
* Examples do not link to thesis
* Topic sentences may be questionable or neglectful in previewing material, relating to thesis, or transitioning
 |
| CONTENT | * Chosen examples are insightful
* Context is clear and concise
* Textual evidence is insightful, original, and illustrative of theme
* Explanation of evidence is highly articulate with superior understanding of text
 | * Chosen examples are satisfactory
* Context is mostly clear and concise
* Textual evidence is strong and accurate
* Explanation of evidence is clear and demonstrates strong understanding of text
 | * Chosen examples may be questionable
* Context may be unclear or wordy
* Textual evidence is generally accurate but may lack impact
* Explanation of evidence is generally clear but with basic understanding of text
 | * Chosen examples may be unclear or inaccurate
* Context may not preview evidence
* Textual evidence may contain serious inaccuracies
* Explanation of evidence may lack development with a faulty understanding of text
 |
| GRAMMAR/MECHANICS | * No errors in g/m
* Correct citations
* No personal pronouns
 | * Few errors in g/m
* Mostly correct citations
* No personal pronouns
 | * Some errors in g/m
* Some errors in citations
* No personal pronouns
 | * Many errors in g/m
* Many errors/missing citations
* No personal pronouns
 |
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